## UPSC Civil Services Main 2003 - Mathematics Algebra

Sunder Lal

Retired Professor of Mathematics

Panjab University

Chandigarh

December 16, 2007

**Question 1(a)** If H is a subgroup of a group G such that  $x^2 \in H$  for every  $x \in G$  then prove that H is a normal subgroup of G.

**Solution.** Let  $h \in H, g \in G$ . Then  $h(h^{-1}g^{-1})^2 g^2 (g^{-1}hg)^2 = hh^{-1}g^{-1}h^{-1}g^{-1}g^2 g^{-1}hgg^{-1}hg = g^{-1}hg$ . Now  $x \in G \Rightarrow x^2 \in H$ , therefore  $(h^{-1}g^{-1})^2, g^2, (g^{-1}hg)^2 \in H$  Consequently for any  $h \in H, g^{-1}hg \in H$ . Thus H is a normal subgroup of G.

Alternative solution. We shal prove that Hx = xH for every  $x \in G$ . Clearly for any  $h \in H, xh = xh.xh.h^{-1}x^{-1}x^{-1}x = h_1x$ , where  $h_1 = (xh)^2h^{-1}x^{-2} \in H$ , this shows that  $xH \subseteq Hx$ . Similarly  $hx = xx^{-1}x^{-1}h^{-1}hxhx = xh_1$  with  $h_1 = x^{-2}h^{-1}(hx)^2 \in H$ . Thus  $Hx \subseteq xH$ , so xH = Hx for every  $x \in G$ . Hence H is a normal subgroup of G.

**Question 1(b)** Show that the ring  $\mathbb{Z}[i] = \{a + bi \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, i = \sqrt{-1}\}$  of Gaussian integers is a Euclidean domain.

**Solution.** For  $\alpha = a + ib \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ , we define  $N(\alpha) = a^2 + b^2$ . Clearly (i)  $N(\alpha) > 0$  for  $\alpha \neq 0$ , (ii) For  $\alpha \neq 0, \beta \neq 0, N(\alpha\beta) = N(\alpha)N(\beta)$ . Let  $\alpha = a + ib, \beta = c + id \neq 0$ . We shall find  $\gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$  such that  $\alpha = \beta\gamma + \delta$  where  $\delta = 0$  or  $N(\delta) < N(\beta)$ . This will prove  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  is a Euclidean domain for the Euclidean function  $N(\alpha)$ .

$$\frac{\alpha}{\beta} = \frac{a+ib}{c+id} = \frac{(a+ib)(c-id)}{c^2+d^2} = p+iq$$

where p, q are rational numbers. We determine integers x, y so that  $|p-x| \le \frac{1}{2}, |q-y| \le \frac{1}{2}$ - x, y are the integers nearest to p, q respectively. Let  $\gamma = x + iy$ . Then

$$\frac{\alpha}{\beta} = \gamma + (p - x) + (q - y)i \Rightarrow \alpha = \beta\gamma + \beta\eta = \beta\gamma + \delta$$

where  $\delta = \beta \eta$ . Clearly  $\delta = \alpha - \beta \gamma$  is a Gaussian integer, and if  $\delta \neq 0$ , then  $N(\delta) = N(\beta)[(p-x)^2 + (q-y)^2] \leq N(\beta)[\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4}] < N(\beta)$ . This completes the proof.

- Question 2(a) 1. Let R be the ring of all real-valued continuous functions on the closed interval [0, 1]. Let  $M = \{f(x) \in R \mid f(\frac{1}{3}) = 0\}$ . Show that M is a maximal ideal of R.
  - 2. Let M, N be two ideals of a ring R. Show that  $M \cup N$  is an ideal of R if and only of either  $M \subseteq N$  or  $N \subseteq M$ .

## Solution.

1. <u>M is an ideal.</u>  $M \neq \emptyset$  since the function f(x) = 0 clearly belongs to M.

Let  $f, g \in M$  then the function (f - g)(x) = f(x) - g(x) is continuous everywhere on [0, 1] and  $(f - g)(\frac{1}{3}) = f(\frac{1}{3}) - g(\frac{1}{3}) = 0$ , so  $f - g \in M$ . Thus M is a subgroup of the group (R, +).

If  $g \in M$  and  $f \in R$ , then the function (fg)(x) = f(x)g(x) is continuous everywhere on [0,1] and  $(fg)(\frac{1}{3}) = f(\frac{1}{3})g(\frac{1}{3}) = 0$  as  $g(\frac{1}{3}) = 0$ , thus  $fg \in M$ . Thus M is an ideal of R. Note that R is a commutative ring with unity I, where I(x) = 1.

Let  $M \subseteq A \subseteq R$  where A is an ideal of R. If  $M \neq A$ , we shall show that A = R. Let  $\beta \in A - M$ , thus  $\beta(\frac{1}{3}) = c \neq 0$ . Define  $\alpha : [0, 1] \longrightarrow [0, 1]$  by  $\alpha(x) = c$  for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ . Then the function  $\mu = \beta - \alpha \in M \subset A$  as  $\mu(\frac{1}{3}) = 0$ . Thus  $\alpha = \beta - \mu \in A$  as  $\beta, \mu \in A$ . Now consider  $\gamma : [0, 1] \longrightarrow [0, 1]$  defined by  $\gamma(x) = \frac{1}{c}$  for all x. Clearly  $\gamma \in R$ . Since A is an ideal,  $\gamma \alpha \in A$ . But  $\gamma \alpha(x) = \frac{1}{c}c = 1$ , thus  $\gamma \alpha = I \in A$ . Since I is unity in R, it follows that A = R, hence M is a maximal ideal of R.

Note: The converse of the above statement is also true i.e. if M is a maximal ideal of R, then there exists number  $r \in [0, 1]$  such that  $M = \{f \mid f \in R, f(r) = 0\}$ . The proof needs compactness of [0, 1] which is not an algebraic concept.

2. If  $M \subseteq N$ , then  $M \cup N = N$  and if  $N \subseteq M$ , then  $M \cup N = M$ , so in both cases  $M \cup N$  is an ideal of R.

Conversely, let  $M \cup N$  be an ideal of R. If possible, let us assume that  $M \nsubseteq N$  and  $N \nsubseteq M$ , this means there exist  $x \in M - N, y \in N - M$ . Now  $x \in M, y \in N \Rightarrow x, y \in M \cup N$ . But  $M \cup N$  is an ideal, thus  $x - y \in M \cup N$ , hence  $x - y \in M$  or  $x - y \in N$ . If  $x - y \in M$ , then  $x - (x - y) = y \in M$  as M is an ideal, but this is a contradiction. If  $x - y \in N$ , then  $(x - y) + y = x \in N$ , which is also not possible. Thus our assumption that  $M \nsubseteq N$  and  $N \nsubseteq M$  is incorrect, so if  $M \cup N$  is an ideal, either  $M \subseteq N$  or  $N \subseteq M$ .

Question 2(b) 1. Show that  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3}, i)$  is the splitting field for  $x^5 - 3x^3 + x^2 - 3$  where  $\mathbb{Q}$  is the field of rational numbers.

2. Prove that  $x^2 + x + 4$  is irreducible over F, the field of integers modulo 11 and prove further that  $F[x]/\langle x^2 + x + 4 \rangle$  is a field with 121 elements.

## Solution.

- 1.  $x^5 3x^3 + x^2 3 = x^3(x^2 3) + x^2 3 = (x^2 3)(x^3 + 1) = (x^2 3)(x + 1)(x^2 x + 1)$ . Thus the roots of  $x^5 - 3x^3 + x^2 - 3$  are  $-1, \pm \sqrt{3}, \frac{1 \pm i\sqrt{3}}{2}$ . Consequently all the roots of the given polynomial lie in the field  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3}, i)$ . Conversely, if K is any field containing  $\mathbb{Q}$ , which contains the roots of the given polynomial, then  $\sqrt{3} \in K$ , and therefore  $i \in K$ , thus  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3}, i) \subseteq K$ . Thus  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3}, i)$  is the smallest field containing all the roots of  $x^5 - 3x^3 + x^2 - 3$ . Thus  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3}, i)$  is the splitting field of the given polynomial over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .
- 2. See question 2(c) from 1996 for the irreducibility of  $x^2 + x + 4$  over F. See question 2(b) from 1992 for the second part.

**Question 2(c)** If R is a unique factorization domain (UFD), then prove that R[x] is also a UFD.

**Solution.** Let F denote the field of quotients of R.

**Result 1.** If  $f(x) \in R[x]$  is irreducible, then f(x) remains irreducible in F[x]. (Note that the converse is obvious as  $R[x] \subseteq F[x]$ .) Let f(x) be reducible in F[x] i.e. f(x) = g(x)h(x), where deg  $g(x) < \deg f(x)$ , deg  $h(x) < \deg f(x)$  and g(x),  $h(x) \in F[x]$ . We can write  $g(x) = a_1b_1^{-1}g_1(x)$ ,  $h(x) = a_2b_2^{-1}h_1(x)$ , where  $g_1(x)$ ,  $h_1(x) \in R[x]$  and are primitive and  $a_1$ ,  $b_1$ ,  $a_2$ ,  $b_2 \in R$  ( $b_1$  is the LCM of all the denominators of g(x), and  $a_1$  is the GCD of the numerators). Thus  $b_1b_2f(x) = a_1a_2g_1(x)h_1(x)$ . But by Gauss Lemma, the product of two primitive polynomials is primitive, therefore  $g_1(x)h_1(x)$  is primitive. Since f(x) is irreducible in R[x], therefore it is also primitive. Consequently  $b_1b_2 = \text{content of } b_1b_2f(x) = a_1a_2 = \text{content of } a_1a_2g(x)h(x)$ and therefore we get  $f(x) = g_1(x)h_1(x)$ , thus f(x) is reducible in R[x]. Hence if f(x) is irreducible in R[x] then it is irreducible in F[x].

**Result2.** Factorization exists in R[x]. Let  $f(x) \in R[x]$ ,  $f(x) \neq 0$  and f(x) not a unit. Let a = c(f) = content of f then  $f = af^*$  where  $f^*$  is a primitive polynomial in R[x] of the same degree as f. Since F[x] is a UFD (being a Euclidean domain), we can write  $f^*(x) = p_1(x) \dots p_r(x)$ , where each  $p_i(x)$  is an irreducible element of F[x]. Let  $p_i(x) = a_i b_i^{-1} q_i(x)$ , where  $a_i, b_i \in R$ , and  $q_i(x) \in R[x]$  is a primitive polynomial. Thus we get

$$b_1 \dots b_r f^*(x) = a_1 \dots a_r q_1(x) \dots q_r(x)$$

But the product  $q_1(x) \dots q_r(x)$  is again primitive (Gauss Lemma), therefore equating the contents of both sides (note that  $f^*(x)$  is primitive), we get  $b_1 \dots b_r = a_1 \dots a_r$ , therefore

$$f^*(x) = q_1(x) \dots q_r(x)$$

where each  $q_i(x) \in R[x]$  and is irreducible in F[x] and therefore irreducible in R[x]. Since R is a UFD,  $a = \pi_1 \dots \pi_t$ , where  $\pi_1, \dots, \pi_t$  are irreducible in R. Thus

$$f(x) = \pi_1 \dots \pi_t q_1(x) \dots q_r(x)$$

where  $\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_t, q_1(x), \ldots, q_r(x)$  are irreducible elements of R[x]. Note that  $\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_t$  being constants cannot have a proper factorization in R[x] if they do not have one in R. Hence the result is established.

**Result 3.** Uniqueness. If possible, let

$$\pi_1 \dots \pi_t q_1(x) \dots q_r(x) = \pi'_1 \dots \pi'_u g_1(x) \dots g_s(x)$$

where  $\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_t, \pi'_1, \ldots, \pi'_u$  are irreducible elements in R and  $q_1(x) \ldots q_r(x), g_1(x) \ldots g_s(x)$  are irreducible elements of R[x]. Using Gauss Lemma, we get that the products  $q_1(x) \ldots q_r(x)$ ,  $g_1(x) \ldots g_s(x)$  are primitive. Comparing the contents of both sides, we get  $\pi_1 \ldots \pi_t = \pi'_1 \ldots \pi'_u$ . But R is a UFD, so t = u, and we can reorder the  $\pi'_i$  to ensure that each  $\pi_i$ is an associate of  $\pi'_i$ . Thus we are left with  $q_1(x) \ldots q_r(x) = g_1(x) \ldots g_s(x)$ . We consider this equation in F[x]. By the first result each  $q_i, g_j, 1 \le i \le r, 1 \le j \le s$  is irreducible in F[x]. Since F[x] is a UFD, we get r = s and by reordering, we get that  $q_i(x)$  is an associate of  $g_i(x)$  in F[x]. We can assume w.l.o.g. that  $q_i(x) = (\text{unit in } F[x])g_i(x), 1 \le i \le r$ . Since units in F[x] are non-zero constants, these are of the form  $cd^{-1}$  where  $c, d \in R$ . Thus we get  $d_iq_i(x) = c_ig_i(x)$ . Using contents, we conclude that  $d_i = c_i$ , thus  $q_i(x)$  is an associate of  $g_i(x)$  in R[x], so the factorization is unique.

Thus R[x] is a UFD.